MINUTES of a regular meeting of the MUNICIPAL COUNCIL of The Corporation of the District of Oak Bay, held in the Council Chambers, Oak Bay Municipal Hall, 2167 Oak Bay Avenue, Oak Bay, B.C., on Monday, February 22, 2010 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor C. M. Causton, Chairman

Councillor H. Braithwaite Councillor A. R. Cassidy Councillor P. Copley Councillor J. D. Herbert Councillor T. Ney

STAFF: Municipal Administrator, W. E. Cochrane

Municipal Clerk, L. Hilton Confidential Secretary, K. Green Municipal Treasurer, P. Walker

Director of Building and Planning, R. Thomassen Director of Engineering Services, D. Marshall

Mayor Causton called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

There was consensus to amend the order of the agenda.

PRESENTATION:

1. -- OAK BAY HERITAGE COMMITTEE Re Art in Chambers Project— Artist Recognition

<u>Jean Sparks</u>, Chair, Oak Bay Heritage Committee, was in attendance to present two original pen and ink drawings illustrating heritage homes, to be added to the artwork collection displayed in the Council Chambers as part of the Art in Chambers project. Ms. Sparks introduced and thanked the two local artists; Marion Cumming, for "Bid-a-Wee" at 1538 Beach Drive and Rosemary Cross, for 3385 Upper Terrace.

Mayor Causton, on behalf of Council, thanked the artists for their contribution to the Art in Chambers project.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES:

Council – February 8, 2010

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Ney, That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, February 8, 2010, be adopted.

Responding to a question from Council, the Director of Building and Planning advised that he would be sending a letter directing the homeowners to remove the rock retaining wall at 63 Sylvan Lane.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

Committee of the Whole – February 15, 2010

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Ney, That the minutes of Committee of the Whole meeting held on Monday, February 15, 2010, and the recommendations contained therein, be adopted.

The Municipal Administrator drew attention to the discussion about the proposed boulevard encroachment process, and said he wished to clarify that should the adjacent homeowner not comply with the boulevard encroachment regulations, remediation of the boulevard could be done by the Municipality with the cost being billed to the owner and recovered as a debt, however, the cost of reinstating the boulevard could not, in fact, be added to the property taxes as there is no authority for that.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

COMMUNICATIONS:

2. 2010-61 ALS SOCIETY OF BC, February 5, 2010 Re Request to Hold Flower Day Tag Day – June 5, 2010

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That approval be given to the Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Society of BC to hold a tag day on public sidewalks in the District of Oak Bay on June 5, 2010.

CARRIED

3. 2010-62 THE BLETHERING PLACE, February 12, 2010
Re Request for Road Closures – Collector Car Festival, August 15, 2010

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That whereas pursuant to Section 37.1 of the Streets and Traffic Bylaw, Council has made the determination that the proposed event will be of general benefit to the adjacent business district and will create no undue inconvenience for adjacent residents or the public at large, approval be given for the closure and occupancy of a portion of Oak Bay Avenue between Monterey Avenue and Mitchell Street, and for the display and sale of goods and refreshments, for the Blethering Place Oak Bay Collector Car Festival event to be held Sunday, August 15, 2010 from 8:00 o'clock a.m. to 5:30 o'clock p.m., subject to the event organizer entering into a public property occupancy agreement in which it will among other standard requirements:

- 1) release and indemnify the Municipality from any claims or liability associated with the event and providing evidence of public liability insurance in the amount of not less than \$3,000,000;
- 2) agree to pay all invoices from the Municipality for costs incurred in connection with the event; and
- 3) agree to obtain Oak Bay Police approval for a traffic plan and implement the same subject to any field instructions from the Police,

with the Municipal Clerk being authorized to execute such agreement on behalf of the District of Oak Bay.

CARRIED

4. 2010-63 CITY OF VICTORIA, February 12, 2010
2010-63-1 MUNICIPAL TREASURER, February 17, 2010
Re Request for Financial Assistance

The Municipal Treasurer clarified that although it appears that the request for financial assistance from the City of Victoria would go to directly to the Our Place Society, the funds would in fact go to the City of Victoria to assist with the 2010 costs it is contributing to the Society for additional operating hours.

There was discussion regarding items in the Society's financial statements, and at the suggestion of a member of Council, Ms. Walker said she would request further information with respect to the Society's current financial position.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the grant request from the City of Victoria in the amount of \$5,370 be referred to Estimates Committee.

CARRIED

There was consensus to amend the order of the agenda.

5. 2010-65 MARITIME MUSEUM OF BRITISH COLUMBIA SOCIETY, February 5, 2010

Re Request for Financial Assistance

<u>Jan Drent</u>, President, Maritime Museum of British Columbia Society was in attendance along with Shirley Vickers, Executive Director, to speak to the Society's request for an operating grant in the amount of \$2,500 to support the ongoing programs and services of the Maritime Museum of British Columbia.

Attention was drawn to the programs planned for 2010 as outlined in the Society's submission to Council, and Mr. Drent expressed his appreciation for Oak Bay's continuing support of the Society and its programs over the years.

Mr. Drent responded to questions from Council with respect to some of the upcoming programs and the future plans of the Society.

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That a 2010 operating grant request from the Maritime Museum of British Columbia Society in the amount of \$2,500 be referred to Estimates Committee.

CARRIED

6. 2010-64 CITY OF VICTORIA, January 29, 2010 Re Request for Financial Assistance

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the request from the City of Victoria for a grant in the amount of \$1,500 towards the 2010 Canada Day celebrations be referred to Estimates Committee.

CARRIED

7. 2010-66 GREATER VICTORIA FILM COMMISSION, February 16, 2010 Re Request for Financial Assistance

<u>Susan Jones</u>, Director, Greater Victoria Film Commission, expressed the Film Commission's appreciation for the District of Oak Bay's continuing support.

Ms. Jones advised that last year's contribution helped the local film industry generate \$12.5 million in spending, noting that the Film Commission has aggressive marketing outreach plans for the future.

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the grant request from the Greater Victoria Film Commission in the amount of \$6,000 be referred to Estimates Committee.

Responding to comments from a member of Council regarding a recent announcement about film production tax credits, Ms. Jones acknowledged the positive change, although she noted that the Film Commission has not yet had a chance to discuss it as a group.

CARRIED

8. 2010-67 UNION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA MUNICIPALITIES, January 25, 2010 Re 2010 Membership Dues

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That approval be given for the payment of Union of British Columbia Municipalities membership dues for 2010 in the amount of \$8,612.31.

CARRIED

 2010-68 MINISTRY OF COMMUNITY AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT, January 29, 2010 Re Local Government Elections Task Force

Mayor Causton provided a brief overview of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM) Task Force that has recently been formed to gather comments from local governments to assist in its review of local government election issues. Mayor Causton noted that the deadline for submissions is April 15, 2010, and acknowledged that achieving a consensus in any recommendation could be a challenge, suggesting therefore, that Council members individually submit their written comments to the Task Force, the Province, or through the Association of Vancouver Island Coastal Communities conference in April.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That correspondence item no. 2010-68 be received.

CARRIED

10. 2010-69 BIKE TO WORK VICTORIA, February 17, 2010
Re Grant Request/Occupancy of Public Property Request

<u>Christina Southern</u>, Executive Director, Bike to Work Victoria, was in attendance to speak to the request for a grant of \$1,000 and permission to stage a "celebration station" on the front lawn of the Municipal Hall during Bike to Work Week.

Ms. Southern drew attention to the success of the celebration station in past years, and expressed appreciation for previous grants given for Bike to Work Week, noting the benefits of cycling as an alternative to automobile travel.

<u>Chris Ford,</u> Director, drew attention to the educational components being offered by Bike to Work Victoria.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That the grant request for the Bike to Work Victoria in the amount of \$1,000 be referred to Estimates Committee, and further that permission be given to Bike to Work Victoria to host a celebration station on the front lawn of the Oak Bay Municipal Hall, subject to Bike to Work Victoria entering into a public property occupancy agreement in which it will among other standard requirements:

- 1) release and indemnify the Municipality from any claims or liability associated with the occupancy and provide evidence of public liability insurance in the amount of not less than \$3,000,000; and
- 2) agree to pay all invoices from the Municipality for costs incurred in connection with the event.

with the Municipal Clerk being authorized to execute such agreement on behalf of the District of Oak Bay.

It was pointed out that there may be other areas of Oak Bay that would also benefit from having a celebration station situated there, and that perhaps consideration could be given to other locations in the future.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

11. 2010-70 OAK BAY COMMUNITY INITIATIVES COMMITTEE, February 5, 2010

Re Minutes of the Meeting

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the minutes of the Oak Bay Community Initiatives Committee meeting held on February 5, 2010 be received.

12. 2010-71 ROBERT FARRELL, [Undated]

2010-71-1 ANGUS AND SANDY MATTHEWS, February 19, 2010

Re Development Variance Permit – 1579 Clive Drive

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That correspondence items no. 2010-71 and 2010-71-1 be received.

CARRIED

13. 2010-72 RICHARD AND DIANE PAYNE, February 17, 2010 Re Development Variance Permit – 2377 Estevan Avenue

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That correspondence items no. 2010-72 be received.

CARRIED

14.	2010-73	FRANCES AND JAMES ANDERSON, January 16, 2010
	2010-73-1	SHANE ARSENS, January 16, 2010
	2010-73-2	BEN DOLF, January 16, 2010
	2010-73-3	LUCIANO AND JOAN LUCHIN, February 18, 2010
	2010-73-4	MARJORIE STEVENS, February 20, 2010
		Re Development Variance Permit – 2075 Lansdowne Road

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Ney, That correspondence items no. 2010-73 to 2010-73-4 be received.

CARRIED

15. 2010-74 DAVID DENTON-CARDEW, February 21, 2010 Re Development Variance Permit – 2508 Florence Street

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Ney, That correspondence items no. 2010-74 be received.

CARRIED

NEW BUSINESS:

Demolition Application – 3280 Ripon Road

Councillor Copley expressed her concern regarding the number of house demolition and deconstruction permit applications. In particular she drew attention to the application to demolish 3280 Ripon Road, saying that inasmuch as the property is mentioned in Stuart Stark's book *More than Just Bricks and Board*, and may have heritage value, perhaps its demolition should be delayed through a temporary protection order to allow time to investigate the extent of any heritage value with a view to including it on the Community Heritage Register. In addition to investigating this property, said Councillor Copely, she would like to see other significant properties added to the Community Heritage Register,

along with considering a process that would see a permanent record made of any significant properties that might be demolished.

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Ney, That the building and land located at 3280 Ripon Road be subject to a temporary protection order in accordance with Section 962 of the *Local Government Act* for a period of not more than 60 days to enable investigation into the potential heritage status of the property by the Oak Bay Heritage Advisory Panel, and that a report from the Panel in that regard be submitted to Council.

Responding to questions, the Municipal Administrator advised that Council has the option of ordering temporary protection of the property for up to 60 days if it is of the opinion that the property is or may be heritage property to allow investigation of the heritage significance. However, said Mr. Cochrane, it would be unusual to order temporary protection for the purpose of adding a property to the Community Heritage Register, noting that investigation into the heritage significance would provide an opportunity to implement a more formal heritage protection measure.

There was discussion on whether or not temporary protection should be ordered, whether or not this was the proper use of the process if heritage designation is not intended depending on the outcome of the investigation, with varying views and opinions being expressed.

CARRIED

(Councillors Cassidy and Herbert against the motion)

Responding to questions regarding augmenting the Community Heritage Register, the Municipal Administrator noted that while at one time there was funding available in this regard from the Province, that is no longer the case, and additions would be difficult for volunteers to take on. He further noted that Council's policy has been only to add properties to the Community Heritage Register with the consent of the owner, although it is not required under the applicable legislation.

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the Heritage Committee be requested to consider adding additional properties to the Community Heritage Register.

CARRIED

Oak Bay News

Councillor Braithwaite expressed her appreciation to the Oak Bay News for its new column "Oak Bay – Then and Now".

Olympic Games Opening Ceremonies Celebration

Councillor Braithwaite drew attention to the well attended Olympic Winter Games opening ceremonies celebration that took place in the Sports View Lounge at the Oak Bay Recreation Centre on Friday, February 12, 2010, thanking staff for a fabulous job well done.

TABLED:

Development Variance Permit – 1579 Clive Drive

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 1579 Clive Drive (Lot 15, Section 69, Victoria District, Plan 1156), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, as amended:

Bylaw Section	Required	Requested	<u>Variance</u>
6.5.4(2)(b) Minimum Rear Lot Line Setback	7.62 m	6.30 m	1.30 m
6.5.4(2)(c) Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback	1.52 m	1.40 m	0.12 m
6.5.4(2)(e) Minimum Total of Side Lot Lines	4.57 m	1.83 m	2.74 m
6.5.4(3)(b) & Schedule 'B' Maximum Occupiable Height	4.27 m	4.88 m	0.61 m
6.5.4(6)(a) Maximum Gross Floor Area Above 0.8 m Below Grade	240 sq m	261.4 sq m	21.4 sq m
6.5.4(11) Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback, Second Storey	3.00 m	1.0 m	2.0 m

to accommodate the construction of a deck on the main floor, enlargement of the deck on the second floor, and additional interior modifications, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-40, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated January 27, 2010.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

Development Variance Permit – 2377 Estevan Avenue

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2377 Estevan Avenue (Lot 10, Block 1, Section 61, Victoria District, Plan 379), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, with respect to the siting of the principal building:

Bylaw Section	Required	Requested	<u>Variance</u>
6.5.4.(11) Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback of the Second Storey (East Side)	3.00 m	2.95 m	0.05 m
6.5.4.(11) Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback of the Second Storey (West Side)	3.00 m	2.50 m	0.50 m

to accommodate the renovations, including the addition of a second floor at the rear of the dwelling on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-41, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated January 28, 2010.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

Development Variance Permit - 2075 Lansdowne Road

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2075 Lansdowne Road (Lot 3, Section 31, Victoria District, Plan 5858), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, as amended:

Bylaw Section	Permitted/ Required	Requested	<u>Variance</u>
6.4.4(4) Maximum Lot Coverage, Accessory Structures	5% (62.5 sq m)	6.6% (82.5 sq m)	1.6 percentage points (20 sq m)
6.4.4(7) Clear Space between Buildings and Structures, (house to hot tub)	3.00 m	1.83 m	1.17 m
6.4.4(7) Clear Space between Buildings and Structures, (deck to mechanical room building)	3.00 m	0 m	3.00 m

to accommodate the construction of a detached deck, pool and hot tub in the rear yard of the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-42, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated January 28, 2010.

CARRIED

Mayor Causton asked if any members of the public wished to speak to the application.

<u>Luciano Luchin</u>, resident, said he has no objection to the hot tub/pool or the mechanical building, but said he does object to the request to increase the size of the deck, saying his property will be most affected by a larger deck, which he feels would accommodate more activities and people, therefore resulting in more noise. Mr. Luchin said that while the current owners are good neighbours, noise is still being generated, and he felt that less considerate future homeowners could make the problem worse.

Mr. Luchin suggested that rather than a raised deck, the homeowners could consider a ground level patio with plantings that would create a sound buffer and privacy, and questioned the raised lawn and retaining wall being proposed.

Mr. Luchin drew attention to previous homeowners being permitted to increase the size of the dwelling, which he said now towers over his property, and he felt that the additional lot coverage for a larger deck would only add to the imposing scale of the property.

It was pointed out by Mr. Luchin that some of the letter writers would not be directly affected by the proposed variances being considered.

<u>Erin Renwick</u>, landscape designer, responding to some of Mr. Luchin's concerns, noted that the proposed deck would be as far away from his property as possible, and that it is not the intent of the owners to use the small pool and deck for large parties.

With respect to the possibility of the family moving, Ms. Renwick felt that with the homeowners planning such a significant landscaping renovation it is unlikely they would want to move anytime soon.

Responding to questions from members of Council, Ms. Renwick explained that the lawn would be raised to level the yard and she pointed out that proposed deck size and configuration would be aesthetically pleasing overall. Regarding a suggestion from a member of Council to move the lawn closer to the house and to add more plantings, Ms. Renwick said that the existing plantings (lilac and hedge) adjacent to Mr. Luchin's yard could be added to, and she said that the landscape plan includes adding plantings that would create more privacy for both properties.

Discussion followed with members of Council expressing varying views with respect to the size and location of the proposed deck. Acknowledging concerns from members of Council regarding the lot coverage for the deck and that Mr. Luchin was opposed to the deck and not the other components of the application, it was suggested that the variances be split to remove the variance related to the deck. Mr. Thomassen said that would be possible, and would require the applicant to comply with the lot coverage maximum for any redesign of the deck.

Council was in agreement that the motion should be split into two parts for voting purposes.

The question on the motion regarding the two variances to section 6.4.4(7) of the Zoning Bylaw, with respect to space between buildings and structures (house to hot tub and deck to mechanical room building) was called.

CARRIED

The question on the motion regarding the variance to section 6.4.4(4) of the Zoning Bylaw with respect to maximum lot coverage for accessory structures (the deck) was then called.

DEFEATED

(Councillors Copley, Herbert and Ney against the motion)

RESOLUTIONS:

Development Variance Permit - 3011 Devon Road

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 3011 Devon Road (Lot 19, Block 46, Section 31, Victoria District, Plan 10950), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, as amended:

Bylaw Section	<u>Required</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Variance</u>
6.2.4(2)(c) & Schedule 'C' Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setbacks	3.66 m	3.5 m	0.16 m
6.2.4(2)(e) & Schedule 'C' Minimum Total of Side Lot Line Setbacks	8.53 m	6.25 m	2.28 m

to accommodate the construction of a new deck, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-58, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated February 8, 2010.

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the motion in respect to the development variance permit for 3011 Devon Road be tabled to allow notice to be given in accordance with the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

Development Variance Permit – 2508 Florence Street

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2508 Florence Street (Lot 44, Block 1, Section 28, Victoria District, Plan 915), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, as amended:

Bylaw Section	Permitted/ Required	Requested	Variance
4.15.1 Maximum Rear Yard Paved Surface	29 sq m (25%)	34.7 sq m (29.80%)	5.7 sq m (4.8 percentage points)
6.5.4 (2)(c) Minimum Side Lot Line Setback (Accessory Structure)	1.52 m	0.91 m	0.61 m
6.5.4 (7) Clear Space Between Building and Structures	3.0 m	1.78 m	1.22 m

to accommodate the pergola and the hard surfacing in the rear yard, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-59, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated February 8, 2010.

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That the motion in respect to the development variance permit for 2508 Florence Street be tabled to allow notice to be given in accordance with the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

Development Variance Permit – 1932 St. Ann Street

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 1932 St. Ann Street (Lot 5, Section 61, Victoria District, Plan 1309), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986, as amended:

Bylaw Section	Permitted/ Required	Requested	Variance
4.15.1 Maximum Rear Yard Paved Surface	29 sq m (25%)	62.7 sq m (54%)	33.7 sq m (29 percentage points)
6.5.4 (6)(a) Maximum Gross Floor Area Above 0.8 m Above Grade	240 sq m	295 sq m	55 sq m
6.5.4 (11) Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback of Second Storey	3.00 m	2.10 m	0.90 m

to accommodate the renovations and hard surfacing, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-60, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated February 11, 2010.

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the motion in respect to the development variance permit for 1932 St. Ann Street be tabled to allow notice to be given in accordance with the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

Traffic Control Order No. 2010-01 – Parking Restrictions on Beach Drive

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That signs be erected, or a yellow line 33 metres in length be painted, adjacent to the curb on the south side of Beach Drive in the vicinity of 495 Beach Drive, as shown more particularly on the sketch attached hereto, to indicate that parking or stopping in the area so marked is prohibited at all times.

CARRIED

Association of Vancouver Island and Coastal Communities Convention

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That Council approve the attendance of Oak Bay Council Members, to the 2010 Association of Vancouver Island and Coastal Communities Convention, to be held in Powell River, BC, April 9 to 11, 2010, and the payment of expenses necessarily incurred by them.

CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT:

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That the open portion of the Council meeting be adjourned and that a closed session be convened to discuss personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the municipality or another position appointed by the municipality; and to discuss law enforcement, as Council considers that disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the conduct of an investigation under an enactment.

The meeting adjourned at 9:35 p.m.		
Certified Correct:		
Municipal Clerk	Mayor	