

MINUTES of a regular meeting of the MUNICIPAL COUNCIL of The Corporation of the District of Oak Bay, held in the Council Chambers, Oak Bay Municipal Hall, 2167 Oak Bay Avenue, Oak Bay, B.C., on Monday, January 25, 2010, following a Public Hearing at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor C. M. Causton, Chairman  
Councillor H. Braithwaite  
Councillor A. R. Cassidy  
Councillor P. Copley  
Councillor J. D. Herbert  
Councillor N. B. Jensen  
Councillor T. Ney

STAFF: Municipal Administrator, W. E. Cochrane  
Municipal Clerk, L. Hilton  
Confidential Secretary, K. Green  
Municipal Treasurer, P. Walker  
Director of Building and Planning, R. Thomassen  
Director of Engineering Services, D. Marshall

Mayor Causton called the meeting to order at 7:38 p.m.

There was consensus to vary the order of the agenda to consider the Bylaw that was the subject of the Public Hearing held at 7:30 p.m.

***For Third Reading and Adoption***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite  
Seconded by Councillor Ney, That Bylaw No. 4494, *Official Community Plan Bylaw Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2010*, be read a third time.

Members of Council expressed their appreciation for the suggestions brought forward by Ms. Karlstrom during the public hearing. However, it was noted that the Official Community Plan sets direction for the Municipality and it is not a regulatory document. It was acknowledged, however, that building regulations such as those proposed by Ms. Karlstrom would be the type of initiatives envisioned by the Official Community Plan amendment and could be considered in the future.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Cassidy  
Seconded by Councillor Jensen, That Bylaw No. 4494, *Official Community Plan Bylaw Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2010*, be adopted.

CARRIED

ADOPTION OF MINUTES:

*Special Council – January 6, 2010*

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That the minutes of the Special Council meeting held on Wednesday, January 6, 2010, be adopted.

CARRIED

*Council – January 11, 2010*

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the minutes of the Council meeting held on Monday, January 11, 2010, be adopted.

Responding to a question regarding the rock retaining wall at 63 Sylvan Lane, the Director of Building and Planning advised that some documentation has been received which is being reviewed.

An error on the adjournment time for the meeting was pointed out, and it was confirmed that the meeting adjourned at 10:52 p.m.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

*Committee of the Whole – January 18, 2010*

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That the minutes of Committee of the Whole meeting held on Monday, January 18, 2010, and the recommendations contained therein, be adopted.

With respect to the boulevard on Tinto Street, Mayor Causton advised that Councillor Ney has agreed to meet with the affected parties in his place and report back to the Committee of the Whole on a possible solution.

CARRIED

COMMUNICATIONS:

1. 2010-32 CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT, December 15, 2009

Re Request for Consent to Adopt:

- 1) Bylaw 3662, Millstream Site Remediation Cost Service Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2008, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2009
- 2) Bylaw 3663, Millstream Site Remediation Cost Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2008, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2009

*(Diana Lokken, Director of Finance and Corporate Services, Capital Regional District, in attendance for this item.)*

Ms. Lokken provided a brief history of the Millstream Meadows site, noting that for many years the site was used to dispose of septage and other waste until the contaminated site was closed in the mid 1980's. Under the Contaminated Sites Regulation, Ms. Lokken said that both the Capital Regional District (CRD) and Province are responsible for remediation of the site, with the CRD being responsible for 69% and the Province for 39% of the cost.

The regional cost sharing formula for remediation of the site was originally based on a percentage of total septage volumes disposed of there by individual local governments. A regional advisory committee was established to review the cost sharing formula and to consider amending it to reflect the other waste that was deposited at the site as well.

Acknowledging that the clean-up of the site is a regional problem, said Ms. Lokken, it was recommended by the Advisory Committee, of which Councillor Ney was a member, that 50% of the cost of the clean-up of the Millstream contaminated site should be shared based on the volume of septage deposited and the population of the region's municipalities, and the other 50% of the cost should be recovered through increased landfill tipping fees due to the deposit of regional solid waste at the disposal site instead of the regional landfill.

Ms. Lokken drew attention to the two bylaws currently before Council, noting that Bylaw No. 3662 relates to the cost service loan authorization that would increase the amount to be borrowed by \$2 million and would extend the borrowing term to fifteen years, and Bylaw No. 3663 reflects the proposed changes to the cost sharing formula for the project.

Ms. Lokken explained how the cost sharing formula was determined, noting that Oak Bay's portion, as shown in the materials submitted, would amount to 2.78% of the cost attributed to septage disposal, plus the increased landfill tipping fee.

Councillor Ney advised that as a member of the Advisory Committee she found the process reasonable noting that the final result was fair.

MOVED by Councillor Ney

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That consent be given to the adoption of Bylaw 3662, Millstream Site Remediation Cost Service Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2008, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2009 in accordance with Section 801.4 of the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Ney

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That consent be given to the adoption of Bylaw 3663, Millstream Site Remediation Cost Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2008, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2009 in accordance with Section 801.4 of the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

2. 2010-33 TOURISM VICTORIA, January 12, 2010  
Re Request for Financial Assistance

(*Colleen Irwin, Manager, Visitor Services, Tourism Victoria, in attendance for this item.*)

Ms. Irwin thanked Oak Bay for its solid support of Tourism Victoria over the years, noting that any financial assistance received would go towards the Victoria Visitor Centre, which, she said, is recognized as one of the best and busiest in the country.

Ms. Irwin noted that although 2010 will be economically challenging, it will also provide incredible opportunities such as the 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Navy and the Winter Olympics.

With respect to information promoting Oak Bay, Ms. Irwin advised that there is a display rack for brochures and information in the Visitor Centre, and she extended an invitation for members of Council to visit the Centre during the open house on February 24, 2010 or at any time.

Members of Council inquired about the disclosure statement included in Tourism Victoria's financial statements along with asking what Tourism Victoria's position on the Additional Hotel Room Tax (AHRT) is. Ms. Irwin advised that she would ask President & CEO Mr. Robert Gialloredo for a response to the questions raised.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the request from Tourism Victoria for financial assistance in the amount of \$3,375 be referred to Estimates Committee.

CARRIED

3. 2010-34 OAK BAY TEA PARTY SOCIETY, November 12, 2009  
2010-34-1 MUNICIPAL CLERK, January 15, 2010  
Re 2010 Oak Bay Tea Party Grant /Occupancy of Public Property Request

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite,

- 1) That pursuant to the Streets and Traffic and Zoning Bylaws, permission be given to the Oak Bay Tea Party Society for the occupancy of public land and for the closure of public streets as shown in the sketches attached to the memorandum from the Municipal Clerk dated January 15, 2010, for the purposes of staging the 2010 Oak Bay Tea Party, subject to the Oak Bay Tea Party Society undertaking the same preparations in terms of notices to the public and safety that has been done in previous years, and subject to the Oak Bay Tea Party Society executing an agreement saving the Municipality harmless from any liability arising from the staging of the events, and providing evidence of adequate liability insurance; and
- 2) That a grant of \$7,000 be provided to the Oak Bay Tea Party Society for the 2010 Oak Bay Tea Party.

CARRIED

4. 2010-35 MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATOR, January 19, 2010  
Re Uplands Combined Sewer System

The Municipal Administrator advised that with Council's decision not to proceed with the Uplands low pressure sewer system, the previous plan endorsed by Council to construct a new shallow gravity storm drain was still on file as the District's response to the Minister of Environment's 2000 directive.

Mr. Cochrane suggested that Council request the Engineering Department to prepare a report, as laid out in his memorandum, on options that have been examined over the past decade.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That staff be requested to bring forward to Council a report discussing and summarizing in detail all of the options that have been examined over the last 10 years with respect to the Uplands combined sewer system.

There was discussion regarding some of the previous options explored, and Mr. Cochrane answered questions from members of Council in that regard. With respect to funding options, Mr. Cochrane noted that gas tax funding along with other reserves have been set aside for the separation project.

Attention was drawn to previous comments made that the Uplands properties contribute 30% of the taxes in Oak Bay, and the possibility of using a local area improvement concept whereby the Uplands residents would pay for the project. Mr. Cochrane explained that while it is hard to estimate with great accuracy the percentage of taxes paid by Uplands residents, his best estimation is approximately 12 to 12.5%. With respect to implementing a local area improvement, he said, the cost would be quite significant for each Uplands property.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

5. 2010-36 OAK BAY HERITAGE COMMITTEE, November 10, 2009
- 2010-36-1 OAK BAY HERITAGE COMMITTEE, January 14, 2010
- Re Minutes of the Meeting

Councillor Ney noted that the Committee is considering restructuring options for the Heritage Committee and the Foundation.

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the minutes of the Oak Bay Heritage Committee meeting held on November 10, 2009 and January 14, 2010, be received.

CARRIED

Correspondence re Items Appearing Later on the Agenda

6. 2010-37 ANDY BRYCE *et al*, December 30, 2009
- 2010-37-1 STU CALDER, January 16, 2010
- 2010-37-2 JEAN THOMSON, January 18, 2010
- Re Development Variance Permit – 1335 Oliver Street

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That correspondence items no. 2010-37 to 2010-37-2 be received.

CARRIED

7. 2010-38 MICHAEL MUIRHEAD AND MARY DOUTHWAITE, January 18, 2010  
2010-38-1 NAJIB ASFAR, January 21, 2010  
2010-38-2 MIKE PAVANJNUK, January 19, 2010  
2010-38-3 MARJORIE PINE, January 21, 2010  
2010-38-4 PAUL VINCENT AND HELEN MASSINGHAM-HOWELLS, January 21, 2010  
2010-38-5 GRAHAM AND KUMIKO MADDOCK, January 22, 2010  
Re Development Variance Permit – 168 Barkley Terrace

MOVED by Councillor Jensen  
Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That correspondence items no. 2010-38 to 2010-38-5 be received.

CARRIED

NEW BUSINESS:

*Olympic Games Opening Ceremonies Celebration*

Councillor Braithwaite drew attention to the activities planned in conjunction with the Olympic Games Opening Ceremonies on February 12, 2010 in the Sports View Lounge, from 6 – 10 p.m. This will be a family event, she said, with many activities and a special Olympic menu.

TABLED:

There was consensus to vary the order of the agenda.

Councillor Jensen indicated a conflict inasmuch as he lives near the applicant with respect to the Development Variance Permit Application for 1335 Oliver Street, and, further, he said that a family relation is in a contractual relationship with the owner of 3295 Midland Road, and left the meeting at 8:36 p.m.

*Development Variance Permit –1335 Oliver Street*

MOVED by Councillor Ney  
Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 1335 Oliver Street (Lot 1, Section 23, Victoria District, Plan 14277), varying the following provision of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended, with respect to the siting of a principal building:

Bylaw Section	Required	Requested	Variance
6.5.4(2)(e) (Minimum Total of Side Lot Line Setbacks)	4.57 m	4.21 m	0.36 m

to accommodate an addition to the south side of the residence on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-13, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 18, 2009.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

***Development Variance Permit – 3295 Midland Road***

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 3295 Midland Road (Lot 11, Section 31, Victoria District, Plan 10433), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended, with respect to the siting of a principal building:

Bylaw Section	Required	Requested	Variance
Schedule “C” & s. 4.6.5(2) (Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback: Eaves)	4.12 m	3.50 m	0.62 m

to accommodate the extension of the eave of the roof on the north side of the residence on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-16, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 29, 2009.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

Councillor Jensen returned to the meeting at 8:38 p.m.

***Development Variance Permit –2732 Bowker Avenue***

MOVED by Councillor Copley

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2732 Bowker Avenue (Lot 29, Section 61, Victoria District, Plan 874), varying the following provision of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended, with respect to the gross floor area of a principal building:

Bylaw Section	Permitted	Requested	Variance
6.5.4(6)(b) Maximum Gross Floor Area Higher Than 0.8 m Below Grade	300 m <sup>2</sup>	326 m <sup>2</sup>	26 m <sup>2</sup>

to accommodate the construction of an addition to the residence on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-14, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 18, 2009.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

***Development Variance Permit –168 Barkley Terrace***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Copley, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 168 Barkley Terrace (Lot A, Block 3, Sections 19, 46 & 47, Victoria District, Plan VIP79113), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended, with respect to the gross floor area of a principal building:

Bylaw Section	Permitted	Requested	Variance
6.4.4(6)(b) (Maximum Gross Floor Area)	480 m <sup>2</sup>	667 m <sup>2</sup>	187 m <sup>2</sup>
6.4.4(6)(b) (Maximum Gross Floor Area Higher Than 0.8 m Below Grade)	360 m <sup>2</sup>	453 m <sup>2</sup>	93 m <sup>2</sup>

to accommodate the construction of a new single family dwelling on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-15, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 21, 2009.

CARRIED

With respect to a question regarding some of the letters received regarding the variance application, Dr. Mann, applicant, noted that his wife has spoken with their neighbours regarding the proposed house plans.

Responding to further questions, Dr. Mann commented that his designer advised that the requested variances for the floor area being proposed would have been permitted under the previous floor area ratio regulations.



Paul Vincent, resident, said his principle objection to the development at 168 Barkley Terrace is that it represents a variance in the magnitude of approximately 30% more than permitted under the Bylaw, adding that if granted, the variance could be precedent setting in his view.

There was discussion regarding the floor area changes to the Zoning Bylaw, comparing the old floor area ratio regulations to the flat floor area limit, and it was acknowledged that at the time the possibility of requests for variances coming forward was recognized. However, it was also noted that each individual application must be reviewed on its own merit.

Graham Maddock, resident, said he was torn on the issue, noting that he feels the proposed roof top deck may impact his and his neighbour's privacy and that by allowing this size of a variance it could be precedent setting for the Municipality.

Mr. Maddock also drew attention to the design saying it fits well with the topography of the land, and that the house could be higher than proposed without requiring a variance.

Michael Muirhead, resident, noted that his house is below 168 Barkley Terrace, and spoke in support of the variances being requested, stating that a good precedent could be set here given that it will be taking cars off the street.

Mr. Muirhead said that Dr. Mann has been very conservative and considerate of his neighbours in designing this house, and that his reputable designer has specifically set the roof line low so as not to impede anyone's views. He concluded by saying that many homes in the area have large and more visible garages, noting that there is already a four car garage on Barkley Terrace.

Bette Gallander, resident, stated her support for the variances, saying that the house design is fabulous and sensitive to the landscape of the area. Ms. Gallander commented that a taller house could be built which could have a significant affect on the neighbours' views.

Responding to a question from Council, the Director of Building and Planning confirmed that a higher roof of a different design (i.e. gabled or peaked) could be permitted without requiring a variance.

Marjorie Pine, resident, said her property is above 168 Barkley Terrace and she was originally concerned that the proposed new house would block her view, and although she has some concern regarding the roof deck, she said that after reviewing the plans she feels the house will work well given other possibilities that could have been permitted.

Will Peereboom, building designer, noted that there is no change in the mass or footprint from the existing house attributable to the proposed garage. Mr. Peereboom said that although the rest of the proposed dwelling meets the floor area requirements, it is the garage and the roof top deck space that would increase the floor area, therefore, requiring a variance.

Mr. Peereboom advised that the garage area is hidden underneath the house and tucked into the hillside, adding that the roof top deck creates needed greenspace hidden from most views.

Christian Barnard, landscape designer, drew attention to the supplemental landscape design plan that was circulated prior to the meeting, noting that it is proposed to plant native vegetation on the roof deck to screen views to and from the deck.

Dr. Mann said he and his wife are trying to be transparent with their development plans and in doing so hope to bring the neighbourhood together with a smaller and narrower design plan, adding that they wish to live with, versus against, their neighbours. He felt that along with providing greenspace, the roof top garden proposal will be an improvement over asphalt shingles. The process was to incorporate a thoughtful and reasonable design that fits well with the neighbourhood, said Dr. Mann.

Discussion regarding the proposed design and variances ensued, and while concerns were raised about the extent of the variances and the possibility of a precedent being set, it was the majority view of Council that the design fit well with the surrounding area and was respectful of the neighbouring properties. Appreciation for the 'green' elements of the development was also noted.

The question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

*(Councillors Copley and Jensen against the motion)*

***Development Variance Permit – 2266 Tinto Street***

MOVED by Councillor Jensen

Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2266 Tinto Street (Lot 9, Block 1, Section 22, Victoria District, Plan 1160), varying the following provision of Bylaw No. 3531 (*Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended):

Zoning Bylaw Section	Permitted	Requested	Variance
S. 4.15.1 Max. % of Front Yard Allowed to be Paved	25%	45.5%	20.5 % points

to accommodate the development of a driveway on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-17, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 30, 2009, and the south elevation on Banks Design drawing no. A-4, dated January 6, 2010

CARRIED

Gerald Stevenson, building contractor, explained that the applicant is requesting a variance to increase the allowable paved surface in the front yard.

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

**Development Variance Permit –2434 Cranmore Road**

Councillor Cassidy indicated a conflict noting his personal relationship with the applicant, and left the meeting at 9:38 p.m.

MOVED by Councillor Jensen

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That the following motion be lifted from the table:

That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 2434 Cranmore Road (Lot 16, Section 61, Victoria District, Plan 1266), varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, with respect to the siting of buildings:

Bylaw Section	Required	Requested	Variance
6.5.4(2)(a) (Minimum Front Lot Line Setback, Accessory Building)	7.62 m	0.30 m	7.32 m
6.5.4(2)(c) (Minimum Interior Side Lot Line Setback, Accessory Building)	0.61 m	0.40 m	0.21 m
6.5.4(7) (Minimum Clear Space Between Buildings)	3.00 m	2.35 m	0.65 m
6.5.4(2)(e) (Minimum Total of Side Lot Line Setbacks, Principal Building)	4.57 m	3.03 m	1.54 m
4.6.5(1) & 6.5.4(2)(a) (Minimum Front Lot Line Setback, Unenclosed Stairs)	6.42 m	5.22 m	1.20 m

to accommodate the construction of a detached carport along with the relocation of the front stairs accessing the residence on the said parcel, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-18, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated December 17, 2009.

CARRIED

With no members of the public wishing to speak to the application, the question on the main motion was then called.

CARRIED

Councillor Cassidy returned to the meeting at 9:39 p.m.

RESOLUTIONS:

***Development Variance Permit – 1941 Crescent Road***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit amending Development Variance Permit No. 38-2009 with respect to 1941 Crescent Road (Lot 19, Section 19, Victoria District, Plan 291) to add the variance to the following provision of Bylaw No. 3531, being the *Zoning Bylaw, 1986*, as amended:

<u>Bylaw Section</u>	<u>Permitted</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Variance</u>
6.5.4 (6)(b) Maximum Gross Floor Area	420 sq m	465 sq m	45 sq m

to accommodate the proposed basement room not shown on the plans attached to DVP No. 038-2009 and to replace those plans with the plans attached to Committee of the Whole agenda item #2010-31, being a memorandum from the Director of Building and Planning dated January 13, 2010.

MOVED by Councillor Jensen

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That the motion in respect to the development variance permit for 1941 Crescent Road be tabled to allow notice to be given in accordance with the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

***Crown Land Tenure Offer Re Oak Bay Sea Rescue Society Boat House***

MOVED by Councillor Jensen

Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That:

WHEREAS authority for the Municipal Clerk to execute the Licence of Occupation Agreement with the Province of British Columbia for the aquatic lands proposed for the location of a new Sea Rescue Boat House adjacent to Oak Bay Marina, with a twelve year term commencing January 1, 2010, was given January 11, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Agreement should have been for a thirteen year term commencing December 31, 2009, to coincide with the expiration of the District's existing main lease with the Province of British Columbia;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the authorization for the Municipal Clerk to execute the twelve year term Agreement commencing January 1, 2010 be extended to apply to the Agreement with the corrected term, being one of thirteen years commencing December 31, 2009.

CARRIED

***Chief Administrative Officer Selection Committee***

MOVED by Councillor Herbert

Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That a committee of Council consisting of Councillor Cassidy (Chair), Mayor Causton and Councillors Braithwaite and Jensen be established to work with the Chief Administrative Officer to short-list, conduct initial interviews and make a recommendation to Council with respect to an appointment to the Chief Administrative Officer position.

CARRIED

***Wall of Fame Selection Committee***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Jensen, That the following be appointed to the Wall of Fame Selection Committee for the remainder of a one year term expiring December 31, 2010:

Liz Hawes, Parks and Recreation Commission  
Laverne Bennett, Parks and Recreation Commission  
Councillor John Herbert  
Councillor Hazel Braithwaite.

CARRIED

***Community Initiatives Committee***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That Councillor Jensen be appointed to the Community Initiatives Committee for the remainder of a one year term expiring December 31, 2010.

CARRIED

***Greater Victoria School District - Oak Bay High School Redevelopment Steering Committee***

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite

Seconded by Councillor Herbert, That Councillor Jensen and Councillor Cassidy be appointed to the Greater Victoria School District's Oak Bay High School Redevelopment Steering Committee.

Councillor Jensen noted that in discussions with members of the Board of Trustees for the Greater Victoria School District around trying to create a collaborative process to allow for community engagement in respect to the redevelopment of Oak Bay High, it emerged that the School District may form a Redevelopment Steering Committee, which could include two members of Council along with, perhaps, the Director of Parks and Recreation. The Committee, he said, would consult with the community on specific issues.

It was further noted that funding for a consultant is included in the School District's funding for the redevelopment project, which would supercede the previously tabled motion proposing the District of Oak Bay and the School District jointly pursue hiring a consultant to facilitate the redevelopment process.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

BYLAWS:

***For Adoption***

MOVED by Councillor Herbert  
Seconded by Councillor Ney, That Bylaw No. 4491, *Animal Control Bylaw Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2010*, be adopted.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Copley  
Seconded by Councillor Ney, That Bylaw No. 4492, *Ticket Information Utilization Bylaw Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2010*, be adopted.

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Jensen  
Seconded by Councillor Braithwaite, That Bylaw No. 4500, *Carnarvon Allenby Fireman's Baseball and Softball Association Licence Authorization Bylaw, 2010*, be adopted.

CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT:

MOVED by Councillor Braithwaite  
Seconded by Councillor Cassidy, That the open portion of the Council meeting be adjourned and that a closed session be convened to discuss personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the municipality or another position appointed by the municipality.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 9:42 p.m.

Certified Correct:

---

Municipal Clerk

---

Mayor